

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/619,673	07/16/2003	Hidemasa lijima	2003-0972A	7051		
513	7590 08/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	OTH, LIND & PONA	HALPER	HALPERN, MARK			
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1731		
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/200	DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_ (
		Application I	lo.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/619,673		IIJIMA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mark Halpern		1731				
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	nis communication app	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing o - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extender Any reply received by the Office later tha earned patent term adjustment. See 37	COM THE MAILING DA er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 late of this communication. the maximum statutory period w I period for reply will, by statute, in three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, I will apply and will exp , cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be tin pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on <u>07 Au</u>	<u>ugust 2006</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
 Since this application is i 		-			e merits is			
closed in accordance wit	h the practice under E	x parte Quayl	э, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 18</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are all 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rej 7) □ Claim(s) is/are ob 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17, 18</u> are subjective.	is/are withdravowed. ected. jected to.	wn from consid						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is object 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request to Replacement drawing sheet 11) The oath or declaration is	is/are: a) acce hat any objection to the o t(s) including the correcti	epted or b)	eld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2. Certified copies of3. Copies of the certified	None of: the priority documents the priority documents fied copies of the prior e International Bureau	s have been re s have been re rity documents u (PCT Rule 17	eceived. eceived in Application have been receive 7.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89: 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ing Review (PTO-948)	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ite	O-152)			

Page 2

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 8/7/2006. Claims 1-16 are cancelled and new claims 17-18 are offered for consideration.

- 2) Claims 1-8 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oechsle (DE 19956752), is withdrawn in view of cancelled claims.
- 3) Claims 9-16 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oechsle, with or without Weitzel (DE 9207656) and Sollinger (6,024,836), is withdrawn in view of cancelled claims.
- 4) Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of cancelled claims.

Election/Restrictions

- 5) Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claim 17, drawn to a paper machine, classified in class 162, subclass 272.
 - II. Claim 18, drawn to a method of controlling web formation in a paper machine, classified in class 162, subclass 198.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/619,673

Art Unit: 1731

806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another r and materially different process, for example, making tobacco sheets.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/619,673

Art Unit: 1731

Page 4

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Halpern

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731